

NATIONAL  
 OAK WILT  
SYMPOSIUM

JUNE 4-7, 2007 • AUSTIN, TEXAS

**The Proceedings of the 2<sup>nd</sup> National Oak Wilt Symposium**

**Edited by:**

**Ronald F. Billings  
David N. Appel**

**Sponsored by**

**International Society of Arboriculture – Texas Chapter**

**Cooperators**

**Texas Forest Service  
Texas AgriLife Extension Service  
The Nature Conservancy of Texas  
Lady Bird Johnson Wildflower Center  
USDA Forest Service, Forest Health Protection**

**2009**

## ***XYLELLA FASTIDIOSA* AND BACTERIAL LEAF SCORCH OF OAKS: SULIMINAL, SUBTLE, AND SUSPECT**

E. L. Barnard  
Florida Division of Forestry, FDACS  
1911 SW 34<sup>th</sup> Street  
Gainesville, FL 32608  
Email: [barnare@doacs.state.fl.us](mailto:barnare@doacs.state.fl.us)

### **ABSTRACT**

The fastidious xylem-inhabiting bacterium, *Xylella fastidiosa*, is a widely distributed vascular pathogen occurring in a variety of plants and trees. Vectored by several insects (primarily leafhoppers), *X. fastidiosa* causes various symptoms including marginal leaf scorch, decreased fruit production, declining vigor, delayed bud break, stunting, dieback, and sometimes death in susceptible hosts. Surveys have documented a wide distribution of *X. fastidiosa* in oaks, and it is considered by some to be a debilitating pathogen in certain species, especially red oaks. What does all this portend for oak populations? What is the role of *X. fastidiosa* in oak decline? How does *X. fastidiosa* interact with other oak pathogens? This paper briefly reviews the state of our understanding, offers some hopefully relevant commentary, and poses some questions worthy of research attention.

---

**Key words:** Insect vectors, leaf disease, tree decline

The fastidious xylem-limited bacterium *Xylella fastidiosa* (Wells et al. 1977) is associated with, and in many cases is considered causal for, leaf scorch/scald and decline diseases in a wide variety of plant species (Hopkins 1977, 1989, Sinclair and Lyon 2005, CABI and EPPO - undated, Mizell et al. undated). With the possible exceptions of Taiwan and India (pending confirmation? – CABI and EPPO - undated), *X. fastidiosa* is known only in the western hemisphere (Global Invasive Species Database 2005, CABI and EPPO - undated,). In the United States, *X. fastidiosa* has been widely reported in association with leaf scorch/leaf scald and decline syndromes on a variety of broad-leaved fruit and shade tree species including members of the genera *Acer*, *Aesculus*, *Carya*, *Celtis*, *Cornus*, *Liquidambar*, *Morus*, *Platanus*, *Prunus*, *Quercus*, *Ulmus*, and *Citrus*. Overall, *X. fastidiosa* has been associated with 75-100 species of plants, both woody and non-woody, belonging to some 30 plant families. In many of these host plant species, the bacterium induces no noticeable symptoms of disease (Hopkins 1989, Sinclair and Lyon 2005).

Genetic, pathogenic, nutritional, and host-specificity variation is known within *X. fastidiosa* and unique strains are recognized (Hopkins 1989, Chen et al. 1995, Colletta-Filho et al. 2001, Mehta and Rosato 2001, Schaad et al. 2004, Schuenzel et al. 2005, Zhang et al. 2005, Gould and Lashomb 2006). Several groups of strains have been distinguished within *X. fastidiosa* based on DNA sequences, protein profiles, and host preferences (Schaad et al. 2004, Schuenzel et al. 2005). Schaad et al. (2004) have proposed three identifiable and potentially-useful subspecies, including subspecies *multiplex* which appears unique to elm, sycamore, oak, and maple (Mundell 2005). To date, however, the genus *Xylella* remains monospecific (Sinclair and Lyon 2005, Gould and Lashomb 2006, CABI and EPPO - undated).

*X. fastidiosa* is an insect-vectorized pathogen. According to Purcell (1989), virtually all insects that feed predominantly on xylem fluid are potential vectors of *X. fastidiosa*. Within its known range in North America, the most common insect vectors are leafhoppers (Cicadellidae) in the subfamily Cicadellinae (sharpshooters) and spittle bugs or froghoppers (Cercopidae). Specific vectors vary among host plant species and geographic locations. Extensive lists of known and potential insect vectors are available (Lashomb et al. 2002, Gould and Lashomb 2006, CABI and EPPO - undated, Mizell et al. - undated.).

Following introduction of *X. fastidiosa* into xylem elements of susceptible plants, symptoms of infection develop as the bacterium proliferates in the vascular system (xylem). Symptoms may include marginal leaf tissue necrosis (often in older leaves first), premature leaf abscission, decreased fruit production, decline in vigor, stunting and/or reduced growth, delayed bud break, dieback, and ultimately death (Hopkins 1989, Barnard et al. 1998, Sinclair and Lyon 2005, Gould and Lashomb 2006). This complex of symptoms is consistent with and thought to be largely related to reduced water supply to host plant tissues as the bacterium multiplies in xylem elements, although host-produced tyloses and gums, as well as pathogen-produced phytotoxins may be functional in some pathosystems (Hopkins 1989, Sinclair and Lyon 2005, CABI and EPPO - undated).

### **BACTERIAL LEAF SCORCH OF OAKS**

Among the many broad-leaved trees affected by *X. fastidiosa* are several species of oaks (*Quercus* spp.), especially members of the red oak group. Surveys in several eastern states in the U.S. (Chang and Walker 1988, Haygood 1988, Blake 1993, Hartman, Eshenaur and Jarflors 1995, Barnard et al. 1998, Gould et al. 2004) have demonstrated that *X. fastidiosa* is widespread therein and commonly associated with oaks exhibiting leaf scorch and/or decline (Figure 1). Surveys reported from New Jersey (Lashomb et al. 2002, Gould and Lashomb 2006, Gould et al. 2007) suggest that bacterial leaf scorch (“BLS” – the common name of the disease attributed to *X. fastidiosa* infections) is spreading in red oak populations there. Similar to the insect vector situation (above), lists of oaks infected by *X. fastidiosa* are readily available (Lashomb et al. 2002, Sinclair and Lyon 2005, Gould and Lashomb 2006).

### **CONSIDERATIONS AND UNANSWERED QUESTIONS**

Some years ago, this author read a news item in a very popular trade journal that is widely distributed across the U.S. The headline read, “Bacterial Leaf Scorch on the Rise in the Southeast” – a headline clearly intended to signal some level of threat. Such headlines do little to clarify our understanding of this complicated disease scenario. To begin with, the headline presumes at the outset that someone (we) knows (know) what the baseline is; how much BLS did we start with? The reality is that we have no idea, and the “increase” to which the headline refers is likely an increase in the number of reports of BLS resulting from 1) the advent of technologies that facilitate detection and 2) an increase in professional interest and investigation. Of interest to this author is the fact that Dr. George Hepting (one of the “patriarchs” of Forest Pathology in the U.S.) apparently observed oaks exhibiting leaf scorch symptoms decades prior to our ability to detect and identify *X. fastidiosa*. He referred to the symptoms as “leaf dip” (D.H. Marx – personal communication). Recognition of such subtleties and misunderstandings is a must if we are to improve our understanding of BLS in oaks, as well as in other species.

Other factors demanding rigorous evaluation and interpretation when it comes to understanding BLS are environmental considerations and interactions with other diseases. For

example, it is generally recognized that the symptoms resulting from infections by *X. fastidiosa* are “generic” and can be produced by a variety of other causes such as salt damage, drought, other vascular infections, or root disease (Hopkins 1977, Lashomb et al. 2002, Gould and Lashomb 2006). Indeed, Virginia creeper (*Parthenocissus quinquefolia*) inoculated with *X. fastidiosa* failed to express significant leaf scorch symptoms unless subjected to a reduced water (drought?) regime (McElrone, Sherald and Forseth 2001).

How many surveys for or detections of *X. fastidiosa* have considered environmental conditions and have conclusively ruled out the occurrence (simultaneous or sequential) of other diseases? In Florida, for example, turkey oaks (*Quercus laevis*) with and without leaf scorch symptoms and, respectively, with and without detectable infections of *X. fastidiosa* were frequently observed side-by-side. How many of these trees (and which ones) were infected with *Armillaria* and/or *Ganoderma* – common and widespread root pathogens frequently associated with declining turkey oaks (Barnard et al. 1998)? We have no idea. May I submit that this question could be raised in pretty much every situation involving BLS of oaks?

Interestingly, I have read that “scale insects, borers, *Armillaria* root rot, and other biotic diseases may express themselves as secondary pests” on BLS-infected trees (Lashomb et al. 2002, Gould and Lashomb 2006). Do we know that BLS is always primary? Or, could it be secondary (Hopkins 1989)? Why do *X. fastidiosa*-infected and *X. fastidiosa*-free trees of the same species often occur side-by-side (Gould and Lashomb 2006, Barnard et al. 1998)? Is this a function of insect vector preference, genetic variation/resistance in the host, or environmental or pathogenic predisposition to disease development?

What about *X. fastidiosa* and “oak decline”? This phenomenon (oak decline) has been an issue in the southern U.S. for years (Tainter et al. 1990, Oak et al. 2004) and the distribution of oak decline for all intents and purposes can be superimposed on the known range of *X. fastidiosa*, and vice versa. Could there be a link? To this author’s knowledge, there has never been a serious attempt to find out.

There is much we do not know about *X. fastidiosa* and the various diseases with which it is associated. Statements by D. L. Hopkins (1989) perhaps state things best.

- “The combined list of natural hosts for all strains of *X. fastidiosa* ` evidently is limited more by the effort spent in the search for alternate hosts than by the actual host specificity of the bacterium.”
- “Except for a few host-pathogen combinations like PD of grapevine and phony disease of peach, *X. fastidiosa* could be considered a weak or opportunistic pathogen. Strains of *X. fastidiosa* often appear to survive as residents of the xylem vessels in symptomless hosts, but accumulate and produce disease symptoms only if the host is weakened by some other stress factor. ...Stress factors favoring *X. fastidiosa* diseases include drought, other diseases, root pruning with cultivation equipment, overproduction of fruit, normal fruit maturation, and senescence. In most hosts, symptoms of the diseases are not visible until either the time of fruit maturation or late autumn when the hosts are senescing.”
- “In addition to senescence apparently affecting susceptibility of hosts to *X. fastidiosa*, symptoms of the diseases – chlorosis, abscission of leaves, and acropetal symptom development – are also characteristic of plant senescence.”

- “...host senescence appears to be fundamental in diseases caused by *X. fastidiosa*, ...”
- “While other stress factors on the host may favor *X. fastidiosa*, chronic, nonlethal infection by the bacteria also may predispose its hosts to other pathogens and stresses. This seems especially to happen with the shade tree diseases.”
- “With many *X. fastidiosa*-associated diseases, it is difficult to determine whether the bacterium is the primary or a secondary stress factor. In some cases, a synergism with another pathogen or stress factor may be required for disease development.”

Given the complexities and sometimes conflicting realities, it is clear that a thorough understanding of the role of *X. fastidiosa* in scorched and/or declining oaks demands more investigation. Further, assuming climate change is inevitable (and I would hazard a guess that it is – one way or another), the nuances of pathogen X insect vector X environment interactions seem endless. Careful research and data interpretation are essential.

#### **LITERATURE CITED**

- Barnard, E.L., E.C. Ash, D.L. Hopkins, and R.J. McGovern. 1998. Distribution of *Xylella fastidiosa* in oaks in Florida and its association with growth decline in *Quercus laevis*. *Plant Disease* 82: 569-572.
- Blake, J.H. 1993. Distribution of *Xylella fastidiosa* in oak, maple, and sycamore in South Carolina. *Plant Disease* 77: 1262.
- CABI and European Plant Protection Association. EPPO quarantine pest: Data sheets on quarantine pests. Available online at [http://www.eppo.org/QUARANTINE/bacteria/Xylella\\_fastidiosa/XYLEFA\\_ds.pdf](http://www.eppo.org/QUARANTINE/bacteria/Xylella_fastidiosa/XYLEFA_ds.pdf); last accessed April 15, 2007.
- Chang, C.J., and J.T. Walker. 1988. Bacterial leaf scorch of northern red oak: Isolation, cultivation, and pathogenicity of a xylem-limited bacterium. *Plant Disease* 72: 730-733.
- Chen, J., O. Lamikanra, C.J. Chang, and D.L. Hopkins. 1995. Randomly amplified polymorphic DNA analysis of *Xylella fastidiosa* Pierce's disease and oak leaf scorch pathotypes. *Applied Environmental Microbiology* 61(5): 1688-1690.
- Coletta-Filho, H.D., M.A. Takita, A.A.I. Aguilar-Vildaso, and M.A. Machado. 2001. Differentiation of strains of *Xylella fastidiosa* by a variable tandem repeat analysis. *Applied Environmental Microbiology* 67(9): 1094-1095.
- Global Invasive Species Database, 2005. *Rattus rattus*. *Xylella fastidiosa* (micro-organism). Available online at <http://www.issg.org/database/species/ecology.asp?si=19&fr=1&sts=sss/>; [Accessed 1st September 2005]; last accessed April 15, 2007.

- Gould, A.B., and J.H. Lashomb, 2006. Bacterial leaf scorch of shade trees. APSnet. American Phytopathological Society. St. Paul, MN 18 pp. Available online at <http://www.apsnet.org/online/feature/bls/>; last accessed April 15, 2007.
- Gould, A.B., G. Hamilton, M. Vodak, J. Grabosky, and J. Lashomb. 2004. Bacterial leaf scorch of oak in New Jersey: Incidence and economic impact. (Abstract). *Phytopathology* 94: S36.
- Gould, A.B., J.H. Lashomb, G.C. Hamilton, M. Vodak, J. Grabosky, J. Zhang, and H. Staniszewka. 2007. Bacterial leaf scorch in the New Jersey urban forest, 2006. Pennsylvania-Delaware ISA Shade Tree Symposium, Lancaster, PA (Poster).
- Hartman, J.R., B.C. Eshenaur, and V.E. Jarflors. 1995. Bacterial leaf scorch caused by *Xylella fastidiosa*: A Kentucky survey; a unique pathogen; and pin oak, a new host. *Journal of Arboriculture* 21: 77-82.
- Haygood, R.A. 1988. Fastidious, xylem-inhibiting bacteria associated with scorch of pin oak and southern red oak trees in the Carolinas. (Abstract). *Phytopathology* 78: 628.
- Hopkins, D.L. 1977. Diseases caused by leafhopper-borne rickettsia-like bacteria. *Annual Review of Phytopathology* 15: 277-297.
- Hopkins, D.L. 1989. *Xylella fastidiosa*: xylem-limited bacterial pathogen of plants. *Annual Review of Phytopathology* 27: 271-290.
- Lashomb, J., A. Iska, A.B. Gould, and G. Hamilton. 2002. Bacterial leaf scorch of amenity trees: A wide-spread problem of economic significance to the urban forest. U.S.D.A. Forest Service, Northeastern Area State and Private Forestry, Forest Health Protection, NA-TP-01-03, Morgantown, WV. 20 pp.
- McElrone, A.J., J.L. Sherald, and I.N. Forseth. 2001. Effects of water stress on symptomatology and growth of *Parthenocissus quinquefolia* infected by *Xylella fastidiosa*. *Plant Disease* 85: 1160-1164.
- Mehta, A., and Y.B. Rosato. 2001. Phylogenetic relationships of *Xylella fastidiosa* strains from different hosts, based on 16S rDNA and 16S-23S intergenic spacer sequences. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Biology* 51: 311-318.
- Mizell, R.F., P.C. Andersen, C. Tipping, and B. Brodbeck. *Xylella fastidiosa* and their leafhopper vectors. University of Florida, IFAS - Cooperative Extension. Available online at <http://edis.ifas.ufl.edu/in174>; last accessed April 15, 2007.
- Mundell, J.N. 2005. Phylogenetic analysis of Kentucky strains of *Xylella fastidiosa*. Master of Science Thesis. Department of Plant Pathology, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY.

- Oak, S.W., J.R. Steinman, D.A. Starkey, and E.K. Yockey. 2004. Assessing oak decline incidence and distribution in the southern U.S. using forest inventory and analysis data, Pp. 236-242. *In*: Spetich, M.A. (ed.), Upland Oak Ecology Symposium: History, Current Conditions, and Sustainability. USDA Forest Service, Southern Research Station, General Technical Report SRS-73. Asheville, NC. 311 pp.
- Purcell, A.H. 1989. Homopteran transmission of xylem-inhabiting bacteria, Pp. 243-246. *In*: Advances in Disease Vector Research, Volume 6. Springer-Verlag, New York, NY.
- Schaad, N.W., E. Postnikova, G. Lacy, M.B. Fatmi, and C.J. Chang. 2004. *Xylella fastidiosa* subspecies: *X. fastidiosa* subsp. *Piercei* subsp. nov., *X. fastidiosa* subsp. *multiplex* subsp. nov., and *X. fastidiosa* subsp. *pauca* subsp. nov. Systematic Applied Microbiology 27: 290-300.
- Schuenzel, E.L., M. Scally, R. Stouthamer, and L. Nunny. 2005. A multigene phylogenetic study of clonal diversity and divergence in North American strains of the plant pathogen *Xylella fastidiosa*. Applied Environmental Microbiology 71: 3832-3839.
- Sinclair, W.A., and H.H. Lyon. 2005. Diseases of Trees and Shrubs (2<sup>nd</sup> edition). Comstock Publishing, Cornell University Press. Ithaca, NY. 660 pp.
- Tainter, F.H., W.A. Retzlaff, D.A. Starkey, and S.W. Oak. 1990. Decline of radial growth in red oaks is associated with short-term changes in climate. European Journal of Forest Pathology 20: 95-102.
- Wells, J.M., B.C. Raju, H.Y. Hung, W.G. Weisburg, L. Mandelco-Paul, and D.J. Brenner. 1987. *Xylella fastidiosa* gen. nov., sp. Nov.: Gram-negative, xylem-limited fastidious plant bacteria related to *Xanthomonas* spp. International Journal of Systemic Bacteriology 37: 136-143.
- Zhang, J., H. Staniszewska-Goraczniak, J.A. Crouch, B.I. Hill, R. Goraczniak, G.C. Hamilton, J.H. Lashomb, and A.B. Gould. 2005. Preliminary characterization of *X. fastidiosa* strains isolated from oak in New Jersey. Phytopathology 95: 536 (Poster Abstract).

Figure 1: Scorch symptoms on leaves of Texas red oak (*Quercus buckleyi*) typical of those caused by *Xylella fastidiosa* (photos taken in Austin, TX by Ron Billings).

